

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

PRIOR TO FILING				TO: ACTION BRANCH	
1. Fill in Sect. 2, on back. Detach back flap and forward to RID. 2. Destroy front cover sheet, unless it records significant action taken or comments requiring preservation.				If file no. and title shown are not proper, insert proper file no. below and forward document to RID/AN.	
FROM: RILAN					
PROPER FILE NO. TO: ALL ADDRESSEES <i>RETURN</i> FILL IN SECTION 1 ON BACK, IF APPROPRIATE COMMENTS <i>On ground, not Reproduce</i>					
MICROFILMED JUN 19 1961 DOCUMENT MICROFILM SERVICE <i>CC sent 5/2</i> <i>Subject line ban</i> <i>lured, efface 1972</i> <i>file 000-5076</i>					
<i>2-6: What are your views on this case?</i>					
<i>6-7: Give a brief review about this case.</i> <i>In a sense, I'm inclined to the Subject's statement of free will.</i> <i>I'd like to know what you</i> <i>above would want to do with</i> <i>only moral. The question</i> <i>of totality is a little like</i> <i>functions. And let's not</i> <i>be continued surveillance,</i> <i>interrogation (with threat</i> <i>of criminal), and checking on</i> <i>his steps with continual</i> <i>surveillance and questioning.</i>					
INITIALED <i>14: pls file</i> <i>original att in</i> <i>diff</i>					
16. RETURN THIS DOCUMENT TO RID FOR MICROFILMING BEFORE <i>14: pls file</i> IT IS FILED. IF THIS DOCUMENT IS TO BE RESTRICTED, CHECK <i>original att in</i> HERE <i>diff</i> RESTRICTED TO					
17. RID/AN <i>14: pls file</i> 14: pls file <i>original att in</i> 14: pls file <i>diff</i>					
FILE TITLE <i>Unit Designation</i> FILE NUMBER (PRIMARY CODE) <i>501-294855</i> FILE NUMBER (PRIMARY CODE) <i>201-294855</i>					
DOCUMENT DATE <i>14: pls file</i> DOCUMENT NUMBER <i>501-294855</i> DOCUMENT NUMBER <i>201-302030</i> DOCUMENT NUMBER <i>05054</i>					
DATE MICROFILED: <i>14: pls file</i> 14: pls file 14: pls file					

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF ISSUE AND NO.
TO	Chief, KB	SECRET	23
INFO	Chief, SR	PRIORITY	JUN 19 1961
FROM	Chief of Station, Helsinki	DATE	23 APR 1961
SUBJECT	RECAP/LCMBROVE - Meeting of Harry Alfons #SUNDVIK (b. 19 Sept. 1930 in Helsinki) and Anatoliy Nekhaylovich #KLIMOV (201-23455) in Leningrad	REMARKS	RECORDED - CHECK IF ONE MARKED FOR INDEXING NO INDEXING REQUIRED INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED AT QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
ACTION REQUIRED			
EVN			
REFERENCES	5048, 21 April 1961		
<p>1. Transmitted herewith are the following:</p> <p>A. A five-page report of ROODINE's interrogation of Harry Alfons SUNDVIK on 25 April 1961</p> <p>B. A two-page memorandum from SUNDVIK covering points he had forgotten during the interrogation</p> <p>2. When reading the attached interrogation report, there are a number of points to be noted. First of all, SUNDVIK's first story was a lie and it was only when he was pressed by ROODINE that he made any mention of his meetings with KLIMOV. Therefore, this story could be only the second line of defense and not a true story of their relationship. Second, the story does not attempt to explain why, when SUNDVIK had a week off from work (from Saturday, 8 April to Sunday, 16 April), he only spent the four middle days on his trip instead of utilizing the rest of his time. Third, why KLIMOV persisted until the last moment and made arrangements to see SUNDVIK again socially in Helsinki if he had really "lost his grip" to SUNDVIK's superior arguments and hostile attitude as SUNDVIK states. Anyway, to say the least, we are not ready to accept SUNDVIK's story as it stands. He has been asked to write out in detail, at least five single-space typewritten pages, the history of his contacts with KLIMOV. We will check this paper against the report in hand, for a start.</p> <p>3. VALINK/6 is being kept informed of the details of this case. He agrees with ROODINE that there is a good deal more than has been revealed so far. (By the way, we might mention that this is another case in which VALINK/6 and the help of the VALINK organization have been invaluable. They have provided full background reports on all concerned, and have been surveilling SUNDVIK off and on ever since we expressed our interest in him.)</p> <p>4. As far as KLIMOV's statements about COSTILLE and ROODINE go; this was only to be expected and does not surprise either of them. For the history of COSTILLE's previous encounters with KLIMOV, see OFHAB-4981, 20 March 1961. ROODINE XXXXXX has never met KLIMOV.</p> <p>22</p> <p>5. The Ambassador, the Counselor, the Security Officer and the General Services Officer (SUNDVIK's immediate boss) are aware of this case. The interrogation took place in the Security Officer's office. Only ROODINE and SUNDVIK were present, but FURSTUSS was in the adjoining office listening through the wall.</p> <p>6. We still have not figured out exactly how to handle this case. SUNDVIK will eventually be dismissed, but we would like to get some more information before we let go of him. Anyway, since he has been working at the embassy since 1949 there is not much to be gained by throwing him out tomorrow. He has volunteered that he will be seeing KLIMOV in the next few days to collect the bottle of vodka mentioned on page five of Attachment A, and we would like to hear the story of the meeting. It is possible that we might ask for assistance to LCMBROVE SUNDVIK, if this is feasible, since a lot might be learned from this. (SUNDVIK's command of English is excellent, as witness Attachment B, which he prepared by himself.) One thing we do not intend to suggest, and that is a double-agent operation. Considering the position he holds, SUNDVIK is too dangerous to us. We can just imagine KLIMOV licking his chops when he first</p>			

S/PR

CONTINUATION OF
DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

REF ID: A6554

checked into SUNDAVIK's background (for partial information, see reference). Here is a Finnish employee of the American embassy with natural access (by the direction of the Americans) to KLIBIV's office once a week or more. SUNDAVIK knows all the couriers personally and also has complete information on their schedules. Moreover, he can wander about the embassy offices (except the station's, of course) almost at will. He would have been surprised if there hadn't been any recruitment attempt. We might point out here that the above does not only apply to SUNDAVIK but to several other Finnish employees as well. In this connection, please note the last paragraph of attachment A.

7. One last point: we are at present translating a long report from the VALLIES on Harry SUNDAVIK's fiancee (helsinki, Finland) and her family. This will be transmitted next week. We might note, however, that her father, Kalle Edvard MÄNTTILÄ, born 17 March 1903 in Teisko, is a long-time Communist with an impressive police record.

Madeline S. Furstoss
MADLINE S. FURSTOSS

Attachments:
As stated in para. 1

Distribution:

0 & 2 - WE, w/atts. single copy each
2 - SR, w/atts. single copy each
2 - [Helsinki], w/atts.

14-1

+ c.c. Finland
Harry Alfonse SUNDAVIK POOB
19 Sept '30, Helsinki, Finland
subj's interrogation report re:
contact w/ Anatoliy Mikhaylovich KLIBIVOV (201-294855), see
part R.S.

56 CITIZEN OF USSR
Anatoliy Mikhaylovich KLIBIVOV
(201-294855). Report on subj's con-
tacts w/ Harry Alfonse SUNDAVIK,
in Leningrad & Finland)

(2)